



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/073,938	02/14/2002	Steven L. Seed	P 281571	5330	
42624	7590 03/29/2005		EXAM	INER	
DAVIDSON BERQUIST JACKSON & GOWDEY LLP 4501 NORTH FAIRFAX DRIVE, SUITE 920			BILGRAMI, ASGHAR H		
ARLINGTON	•	.0	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		2143		
				DATE MAIL ED: 03/29/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summann	10/073,938	SEED ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Asghar Bilgrami	2143
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a rely within the statutory minimum of thirt will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON a, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 F	ebruary 2002.	
	s action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		ers, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under the		•
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-65</u> is/are pending in the application	ı.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) 1-65 is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	•
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	ar.	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 14 February 2002 is/ar		phiected to by the Examiner
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	· · · · · ·	·
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	*	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	· -	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	nriority under 35 H.S.C. 8	119(a)-(d) or (f)
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under do d.d.d. 3	110(2) (3) 51 (1).
1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority document		oplication No.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		·
application from the International Burea	<u>-</u>	5 -
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	received.
		•
Attachment(s)		•
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 		formal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 05/08/2002 11/2 6/03	6) Other:	* *

Application/Control Number: 10/073,938 Page 2

Art Unit: 2143

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- 2. Claims 1-65 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Jungck (U.S. PUB No. 2005/0021863A1).
- 1. As per claims 1, 16, 23, 38, 45 & 59 Jungck disclosed a system for managed object replication and delivery, comprising: a plurality of edge servers in a network; and a plurality of parent servers in the network (paragraph.19), wherein at least one of the plurality of edge servers and the plurality of parent servers (paragraph.25): direct a request by a client for an object to an edge server in the network (paragraphs. 27 & 35), if the edge server has the requested object, serve the requested object to the client (paragraph.56), otherwise, redirect the client request to a server that has the requested object and serve the requested object to the client, if the requested object is popular, replicate the requested object to the edge server (paragraph.57).
- 2. As per claims 2, 24 & 46 Jungck disclosed the method of claim 1, wherein redirecting the client request to a server comprises redirecting the client request to a parent server in the network that has the requested object and serving the requested object to the client from the parent server (paragraph.57).

Art Unit: 2143

from the parent server (paragraph.57).

3. As per claims 3, 25 & 47 Jungck disclosed the method of claim 1, wherein redirecting the client request to a server comprises redirecting the client request to a parent server in the network that does not have the requested object, recursively redirecting the request until a parent server in the network having the requested object is reached and serving the requested object to the client

Page 3

- 4. As per claims 4, 26 & 48 Jungck disclosed the method of claim 1, wherein redirecting the client request to a server comprises redirecting the client request to an origin server if the requested object is not available at a parent server in the network and serving the requested object to the client from the origin server (paragraph.57).
- 5. As per claims 5, 27 & 49 Jungck disclosed the method of claim 1, wherein directing a request by a client for an object to an edge server comprises directing a request by a client for an object to a best or optimal edge server.
- 6. As per claims 6, 28 & 50 Jungck disclosed the method of claim 5, wherein a best or optimal edge server comprises an edge server selected using at least one of a determination based on a best repeater selector, the likelihood of a copy of the requested object being available at the edge server, and the bandwidth between the edge server and the client.

Page 4

7. As per claims 7, 29 & 51 Jungck disclosed the method of claim 1, wherein replicating the requested object to the edge server comprises replicating the requested object to the edge server from a parent server (paragraph.57).

8. As per claims 8, 9, 30, 31, 52, 53 & 65 Jungck disclosed the system of claim 59, wherein at least one of the plurality of edge servers and the plurality of parent servers further replicate the requested object from an origin server if the requested object is popular and the requested object is unavailable on pares servers in the network (paragraphs. 57 & 61).

10. As per claims 10, 21, 32, 43, 54 & 63 Jungck disclosed the method of claim 1, wherein whether the requested object is popular is determined using at least a request rate for the requested object (paragraph.58).

- 11. As per claims 11, 12, 17, 18, 33, 34, 39, 40, 55 & 60 Jungck disclosed the system of claim 45, wherein at least one of the plurality of edge servers and the plurality of parent servers further delete an object if the object is no longer popular (paragraph.58).
- 13. As per claims 13, 19, 35, 41, 56 & 61 Jungck disclosed the method of claim 1, wherein replicating the requested object comprises replicating the requested object in accordance with a dynamic replication threshold (paragraph.57).

Art Unit: 2143

14. As per claims 14, 20, 36, 42, 57 & 62 Jungek disclosed the method of claim 1, wherein replicating the requested object comprises: replicating the requested object when a popularity of the requested object is great than a threshold popularity and there is enough storage to replicate the requested object; otherwise, if there is not enough storage to replicate the requested object, i) comparing the popularity of the requested object against a popularity of a least popular object in the storage, ii) if the popularity of the requested object exceeds the popularity of the least popular object in the storage, deleting the least popular object from the storage, iii) repeating i) and ii) until enough storage is available for the requested object or the popularity of the requested object is less than the popularity of the least popular object in the storage, and iv) replicating the requested object if there is enough storage (paragraph.57).

15. As per claims 15, 22, 37, 44, 58 & 64 Jungck disclosed the method of claim 1, wherein serving the requested object is performed separately from replicating the requested object (paragraphs.25 & 27).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Asghar Bilgrami whose telephone number is 571-272-3907. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:00-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wiley can be reached on 571-272-3923. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/073,938

Art Unit: 2143

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Asghar Bilgrami

Examiner

Art Uhit 2143

AB